



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

9/11
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,669	03/29/2004	Noboru Ishibashi	118589	1139
25944	7590	11/23/2007		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			TO, TUAN C	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
				MAIL DATE
				DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,669	ISHIBASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan C. To	3663	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12, 15 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-4, 7-11 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 5, 6, 12, and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consist of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronic Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literacy works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer

program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realize, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claim 1, 12, and 16 defines program, instruction embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e, "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized"-Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed operating program can range from paper on which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Ikeda (US 20020174360A1).

Regarding claims 1, and 16, Ikeda discloses an ID assigning method (abstract), including the act of recording, in a navigation apparatus (1) (figures 1 and 2), updated map data or a program that is only made usable by a release key from an information center, the navigation apparatus capable of performing route guidance based on recorded map data (paragraphs 0133, and 0135); recording, in the navigation apparatus (1), ID setting instruction (paragraph 0072, recording medium 9 records map information); executing the ID setting instructions after the updated map data or program is recorded (figure 3, the main navigation unit 2 executes program instructions from the recording medium 9); assigning a unique ID to the navigation apparatus (abstract, lines 4 and 5, the unique ID necessary to obtain the release key from the information center (paragraph 0203 and paragraph 0209); and sending the unique ID to the information center (paragraph 0202); and receiving the release key from the communication center (paragraph 0203).

As to claim 5, Ikeda further disclose the ID is created based on a parameter related to the apparatus (abstract).

As to claim 6, Ikeda further discloses that the parameter related to the navigation apparatus is a registered home location of the apparatus (paragraph 0098).

Regarding claim 12, Ikeda discloses an ID assigning method (abstract), including the act of recording, in a navigation apparatus (1) (figures 1 and 2), updated map data or a program that is only made usable by a release key from an information center, the

navigation apparatus capable of performing route guidance based on recorded map data (paragraphs 0133, and 0135); recording, in the navigation apparatus (1), ID setting instruction (paragraph 0072, recording medium 9 records map information); determining a date and time via the navigation system; determining a present position via the GPS (paragraph 0070); executing the ID setting instructions after the updated map data or program is recorded (figure 3, the main navigation unit 2 executes program instructions from the recording medium 9); assigning a unique ID to the navigation apparatus (abstract, lines 4 and 5, the unique ID necessary to obtain the release key from the information center (paragraph 0203 and paragraph 0209); and sending the unique ID to the information center (paragraph 0202); and receiving the release key from the communication center (paragraph 0203).

Response to Arguments

In response to the applicant's remarks dated 08/31/2007, a new ground of rejection has been set forth based on the cited prior art. The examiner has found the claims are unpatentable over the cited prior art. The 35 U.S.C 101 rejection is still maintained. The 112(2) has been withdrawn.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner,



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Tuan C To". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Tuan C To

November 1, 2007